arXiv:1611.05157v1 [math.CT] 16 Nov 2016

HOPF POLYADS, HOPF CATEGORIES AND HOPF GROUP MONOIDS VIEWED AS HOPF MONADS

GABRIELLA BÖHM

ABSTRACT. We associate, in a functorial way, a monoidal bicategory $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ to any monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{V}$. Two examples of this construction are of particular interest: *Hopf polyads* of [6] can be seen as Hopf monads in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ while *Hopf group monoids* in the spirit of [20, 19] in a braided monoidal category V, and *Hopf categories* of [2] over V both turn out to be Hopf monads in $\mathsf{Span}|V$. Hopf group monoids and Hopf categories are Hopf monads on a distinguished type of monoidales fitting the framework of [4]. These examples are related by a monoidal pseudofunctor $V \to \mathsf{Cat}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *Hopf monad* [10] in a monoidal bicategory is an opmonoidal monad on a monoidale (also called a pseudo monoid) such that certain fusion 2-cells are invertible. In the monoidal 2-category Cat of categories, functors and natural transformations, the Hopf monads of [7] on monoidal categories are re-obtained. Opmonoidal monads have the characteristic feature that their Eilenberg-Moore object — provided that it exists — is a monoidale too such that the forgetful morphism is a strict morphism of monoidales. If the base monoidale is also closed, then the Hopf property is equivalent to the lifting of the closed structure to the Eilenberg-Moore object, see [10].

A monoidale is said to be a *map* monoidale if its multiplication and unit 1-cells possess right adjoints. We say that it is an *opmap* monoidale if it is a map monoidale in the vertically opposite bicategory (that is, in the original bicategory the multiplication and the unit are right adjoints themselves). Thus passing to the vertically opposite bicategory, opmonoidal monads on opmap monoidales can be seen as monoidal comonads on map monoidales, the central objects of the study in [4].

An (op)map monoidale is said to be *naturally Frobenius* [13, 14] if two canonical 2-cells (explicitly recalled in [4, Paragraph 2.4]), relating the multiplication and its adjoint, are invertible. The endohom category of a naturally Frobenius (op)map monoidale in any monoidal bicategory admits a duoidal structure [18] (what was called a 2-monoidal structure in [1]). The Hopf monads on a naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale can be regarded as Hopf monoids in this duoidal endohom category. In this setting, many equivalent characterizations — including the existence of an antipode — of Hopf monads were obtained in [4].

Hopf monads in monoidal bicategories unify various structures like groupoids, Hopf algebras, weak Hopf algebras [5], Hopf algebroids [16], Hopf monads of [8] and more generally of [7]. Some of these, namely groupoids, Hopf algebras, weak Hopf algebras [5], Hopf algebroids over commutative algebras as in [15] and the Hopf monads of [8] live on naturally Frobenius opmap monoidales, see [4].

Date: November 17, 2016.

The aim of this note is to show that some structures that recently appeared in the literature fit this framework as well: we show that *Hopf group monoids* (thus in particular *Hopf group algebras* in [19, 20, 9]), *Hopf categories* in [2] and *Hopf polyads* in [6] can be seen as Hopf monads in suitable monoidal bicategories. Hopf group monoids and Hopf categories are even Hopf monads on naturally Frobenius opmap monoidales; explaining e.g. the existence and the properties of their antipodes.

In order to achieve this goal, we associate a bicategory $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ to any bicategory $\mathcal{V}$. Whenever $\mathcal{V}$ is a monoidal bicategory, also $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ is proven to be so. This correspondence is functorial in the sense that any lax functor (respectively, monoidal lax functor) $F : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ induces a lax functor (respectively, monoidal lax functor) $\mathsf{Span}|F : \mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V} \to \mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{W}$. This construction is applied to two examples:

— A monad in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ is precisely a *polyad* of [6]. Furthermore, any set of monoidal categories can be regarded as a monoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$. The opmonoidal structures of a monad on such a monoidale correspond bijectively to opmonoidal structures of the polyad in the sense of [6]. Finally, such an opmonoidal monad is a Hopf monad if and only if the corresponding opmonoidal polyad is a Hopf polyad (in the sense of [6]) over a groupoid.

— Any braided monoidal category V can be regarded as a monoidal bicategory of a single object. Hence there is an associated monoidal bicategory $\mathsf{Span}|V$ in which any object carries the structure of a naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale.

On the one hand, we identify categories enriched in V with certain monads; categories enriched in the category of comonoids in V with certain opmonoidal monads; and Hopf categories over V with certain Hopf monads on these naturally Frobenius opmap monoidales in $\mathsf{Span}|V$.

On the other hand, we also identify monoids in V graded by ordinary monoids with monads; semi-Hopf group monoids in V with opmonoidal monads; and Hopf group monoids in V with Hopf monads on a trivial naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|V$.

The above examples are related by a monoidal pseudofunctor $V \to \mathsf{Cat}$. It induces a monoidal pseudofunctor $\mathsf{Span}|V \to \mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ which takes both Hopf group monoids and Hopf categories to Hopf polyads.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Joost Vercruysse for highly inspiring discussions on the topic and the organizers of the conference "StefFest" in May 2016 in Turin, where this exchange of ideas began. Financial support by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund OTKA (grant K108384) is gratefully acknowledged.

2. The general construction

Throughout this section $\mathcal{V}$ will denote a bicategory [3, Vol. 1 Section 7.7] whose horizontal composition will be denoted by $\circ$ and whose vertical composition will be denoted by $*$. Although the horizontal composition is required to be neither strictly associative nor strictly unital, we will omit explicitly denoting the associativity and unitality iso 2-cells.

2.1. The bicategory $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ associated to a bicategory $\mathcal{V}$.

The **0-cells** of $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ are pairs consisting of a set X and a map x from X to the set $\mathcal{V}^0$ of 0-cells in $\mathcal{V}$.

The **1-cells** from $X \text{-}x\twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}^0$ to $Y \text{-}y\twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}^0$ consist of a span $Y \twoheadleftarrow l\text{-}\ A \text{-}r\twoheadrightarrow X$ — inducing a span $\mathcal{V}^0 \twoheadleftarrow y.l\text{-}\ A \text{-}x.r\twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}^0$ — and a map a from A to the set $\mathcal{V}^1$ of 1-cells in $\mathcal{V}$, such that with the source and target maps s and t of $\mathcal{V}$ the following compatibility diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xleftarrow{l} & A & \xrightarrow{r} & X \\ {\scriptstyle y}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} \\ \mathcal{V}^0 & \xleftarrow[t]{} & \mathcal{V}^1 & \xrightarrow[s]{} & \mathcal{V}^0 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

That is to say, a is a map of $\mathcal{V}^0$-spans.

The **2-cells** from $(\ Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\ a)$ to $(\ Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\ a')$ consist of a map of spans $f : A \to A'$ and a set $\varphi = \{\varphi_c : a(c) \Rightarrow a'f(c) | c \in A\}$ of 2-cells in $\mathcal{V}$.

If we regard the maps a and a' as functors from the discrete categories A and A', respectively, to the vertical category of $\mathcal{V}$, then φ is a natural transformation from a to the composite of the functors $f : A \to A'$ and a'. By this motivation we use the diagrammatic notation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad a \quad} & \mathcal{V}^1 \\ & {\scriptstyle f}\searrow \quad \Downarrow\varphi \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle a'} & \\ & A' & \end{array}$$

The **vertical composite** of the 2-cells $(f, \varphi) : (\ Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\ a) \Rightarrow (\ Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\ a')$ and $(f', \varphi') : (\ Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\ a') \Rightarrow (\ Y \leftarrow A'' \rightarrow X,\ a'')$ is the pair

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \\ Y & \leftarrow & A' & \rightarrow & X \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \\ & & A'' & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad a \quad} & \mathcal{V}^1 \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \Downarrow\varphi \quad {\scriptstyle a'}\nearrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle a''} \\ A' & \xrightarrow[f']{} & A''. \end{array}$$

In other words, it is the pair $(f'.f, \{\varphi'_{f(c)} * \varphi_c | c \in A\})$.

The identity 2-cell of $(\ Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\ a)$ consists of the identity map $1 : A \to A$ and the set $\{1_{a(c)} | c \in A\}$ of identity 2-cells.

The **horizontal composite** of the 1-cells $(\ Y \twoheadleftarrow l\text{-}\ A \text{-}r\twoheadrightarrow X,\ a)$ and $(\ Z \twoheadleftarrow l\text{-}\ B \text{-}r\twoheadrightarrow Y,\ b)$ is the pair consisting of the pullback span

$$Z \leftarrow B \circ A := \{(d, c) \in B \times A | r(d) = l(c)\} \to X, \qquad l(d) \leftarrow\!\shortmid (d, c) \mapsto r(c)$$

and the map

$$B \circ A \to \mathcal{V}^1, \qquad (d, c) \mapsto b(d) \circ a(c).$$

The 1-cells $b(d)$ and $a(c)$ are composable indeed thanks to (2.1).

The horizontal composite of 2-cells $(f, \varphi) : (\ Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\ a) \Rightarrow (\ Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\ a')$ and $(g, \gamma) : (\ Z \leftarrow B \rightarrow Y,\ b) \Rightarrow (\ Z \leftarrow B' \rightarrow Y,\ b')$ consists of the map

$$g \circ f : B \circ A \to B' \circ A', \qquad (d, c) \mapsto (g(d), f(c))$$

and the following set of 2-cells in $\mathcal{V}$.

$$\{\gamma_d \circ \varphi_c : b(d) \circ a(c) \Rightarrow b'g(d) \circ a'f(c) | (d,c) \in B \circ A\}$$

The identity 1-cell of (X, x) consists of the trivial span $X = X = X$ and the map $1_{x(-)} : X \to \mathcal{V}^1$. The associativity and unitality natural transformations are pairs of the analogous natural transformations in Span and $\mathcal{V}$.

Using that both Span and $\mathcal{V}$ are bicategories, it is straightforward to see that so is $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ above.

We are not aware of any construction yielding $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ as a comma bicategory. However, regarding it as a tricategory (with only identity 3-cells), it embeds into a comma tricategory obtained by a lax version of the *3-comma category construction* in [12, Section I.2.7]: Consider the tricategory $\mathsf{SpanSpan}$ whose 0-cells are sets $X, Y, \ldots$, whose hom-bicategory $\mathsf{SpanSpan}(X, Y)$ is the bicategory of spans in the category $\mathsf{Span}(X, Y)$, and in which the 1-composition is the pullback of spans with the evident coherence 2-and 3-cells. Regarding Span as a tricategory with only identity 3-cells, and interpreting a map of spans in the first diagram of



as a span in the second diagram, we obtain a functor of tricategories $\mathsf{Span} \to \mathsf{SpanSpan}$. On the other hand, any bicategory $\mathcal{V}$ determines an evident (1- and 2-) lax functor of tricategories from the trivial tricategory $\mathbf{1}$ (of a single 0-cell and only identity higher cells) to $\mathsf{SpanSpan}$. The comma tricategory arising from the lax functors $\mathsf{Span} \longrightarrow \mathsf{SpanSpan} \prec_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{1}$ contains $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ as a sub-tricategory.

Note for later application that a 1-cell $(\ Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\ a)$ possesses a right adjoint in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X$ has a right adjoint in Span and for all $c \in A$, $a(c)$ has a right adjoint in $\mathcal{V}$. Equivalently, if and only if it is isomorphic to a 1-cell of the form $(\ Y \leftarrow X = X,\ h)$ such that for all $p \in X$, $h(p)$ has a right adjoint in $\mathcal{V}$.

2.2. **The monoidal bicategory $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ for a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{V}$.** In this section $\mathcal{V}$ is taken to be a monoidal bicategory — that is, a single object tricategory [11] — with monoidal operation $\otimes$ and monoidal unit K. Then we can equip $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ with a monoidal structure as follows.

The monoidal product of 0-cells $X \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{V}^0$ and $Z \xrightarrow{z} \mathcal{V}^0$ consists of the Cartesian product set $X \times Z$ and the map

$$X \times Z \to \mathcal{V}^0, \qquad (k, l) \mapsto x(k) \otimes z(l).$$

The monoidal product lax functor on the local hom categories takes a pair of 2-cells $(f, \varphi) : (\ Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\ a) \Rightarrow (\ Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\ a')$ and $(g, \gamma) : (\ W \leftarrow B \rightarrow Z,\ b) \Rightarrow (\ W \leftarrow B' \rightarrow Z,\ b')$ to the 2-cell consisting of the Cartesian product map $f \times g : A \times B \to A' \times B'$ between the Cartesian product spans and the following set of

2-cells.

$$\{\varphi_c \otimes \gamma_d : a(c) \otimes b(d) \to a'f(c) \otimes b'g(d) | (c,d) \in A \times B\}$$

The natural transformations establishing the compatibility of these functors $\otimes$ with the identity 1-cells and the horizontal composition are inherited from $\mathcal{V}$. The monoidal unit is the singleton set with the map K. The lax natural transformations measuring the non-associativity and non-unitality of $\otimes$, as well as their invertible coherence modifications are induced by those in $\mathcal{V}$.

2.3. **Functoriality.** Any lax functor $F : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ between arbitrary bicategories $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ induces a lax functor $\mathsf{Span}|F : \mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V} \to \mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{W}$ as follows. It sends a 0-cell $X \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{V}^0$ to the 0-cell

$$X \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{V}^0 \xrightarrow{F^0} \mathcal{W}^0,$$

and it sends a 2-cell $(f, \varphi) : (\, Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\, a) \Rightarrow (\, Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\, a')$ to

$$(f, \{F(\varphi_c) | c \in A\}) : (\, Y \leftarrow A \rightarrow X,\, F(a(-))) \Rightarrow (\, Y \leftarrow A' \rightarrow X,\, F(a'(-))).$$

The natural transformations establishing its compatibility with the horizontal composition and the identity 1-cells come from those for F. Hence if F is a pseudofunctor then so is $\mathsf{Span}|F$.

If $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are monoidal bicategories and F is a monoidal lax functor (cf. [11, Definition 3.1]) then a monoidal structure is induced on $\mathsf{Span}|F$ in a natural way.

2.4. **(Co)monoidales in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ induced by sets of (co)monoidales in the monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{V}$.** Let X be some set and $\{(M_p, m_p, u_p) | p \in X\}$ a set of monoidales in $\mathcal{V}$ labelled by the elements of X. Then the pair $(X, X \ni p \mapsto M_p)$ yields a 0-cell of $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$. It becomes a monoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ if equipped with the multiplication and unit 1-cells

$$(\, X = X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X,\, X \ni p \mapsto m_p) \quad \text{and} \quad (\, X = X \xrightarrow{!} 1,\, X \ni p \mapsto u_p)$$

(where $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ is the diagonal map $x \mapsto (x, x)$ and $! : X \to 1$ is the unique map to the singleton set) and the associativity and unit 2-cells provided by the identity map of X, and the maps sending $p \in X$ to the associativity and unit isomorphisms of the monoidale M_p, respectively.

If each member (M_p, m_p, u_p) of the above set is a naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale in $\mathcal{V}$, then so is the induced monoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$. The left adjoints of its multiplication and unit are given in terms of the left adjoints $m_{p*} \dashv m_p$ and $u_{p*} \dashv u_p$ as

$$(\, X \times X \xleftarrow{\Delta} X = X\, ,\, X \ni p \mapsto m_{p*}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\, 1 \xleftarrow{!} X = X\, ,\, X \ni p \mapsto u_{p*}).$$

The 2-cells of [4, Paragraph 2.4] are invertible for the induced opmap monoidale since they are so for each member (M_p, m_p, u_p).

In a symmetric manner, a set $\{(C_p, d_p, e_p) | p \in X\}$ of comonoidales in $\mathcal{V}$ induces a comonoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$. The underlying 0-cell is $(X, X \ni p \mapsto C_p)$; the comultiplication and counit 1-cells are

$$(\, X \times X \xleftarrow{\Delta} X = X\, ,\, X \ni p \mapsto d_p) \quad \text{and} \quad (\, 1 \xleftarrow{!} X = X\, ,\, X \ni p \mapsto e_p),$$

respectively; while the coassociativity and the counit isomorphisms are given by the set of those for C_p.

2.5. **Convolution monoidal hom categories and their opmonoidal monads.** If M is a monoidale and C is a comonoidale in any monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{M}$ then the hom category $\mathcal{M}(C,M)$ admits a monoidal structure of the convolution type: the monoidal product of 2-cells $\gamma : b \Rightarrow b'$ and $\varphi : a \Rightarrow a'$ between 1-cells $C \to M$ is obtained taking the horizontal composite of the comultiplication of the comonoidale C (which is a 1-cell from C to $C \otimes C$) with the monoidal product of γ and φ in $\mathcal{V}$ (which goes from $C \otimes C$ to $M \otimes M$) and with the multiplication of the monoidale M (which is a 1-cell from $M \otimes M$ to M). The monoidal unit is the horizontal composite of the counit $C \to K$ with the unit $K \to M$.

Via horizontal composition any monad $a : M \to M$ in any bicategory $\mathcal{M}$ induces a monad $\mathcal{M}(C,a)$ in Cat on the hom category $\mathcal{M}(C,M)$, for any 0-cell C of $\mathcal{M}$. If C is a comonoidale, M is a monoidale, and a is an opmonoidal monad in $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}(C,a)$ is canonically an opmonoidal monad in Cat on the above convolution-monoidal category $\mathcal{M}(C,M)$. Moreover, if a is a left or right Hopf monad in $\mathcal{M}$ in the sense of [10], then $\mathcal{M}(C,a)$ is a left or right Hopf monad in Cat in the sense of [7].

These considerations apply, in particular, to an induced monoidale $(Y,M) := \{M_p | p \in Y\}$ and an induced comonoidale $(X,C) := \{C_q | q \in X\}$ in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}$ (cf. Section 2.4) for any monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{V}$. In the category $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}((X,C),(Y,M))$ the monoidal product any two morphisms — that is, of 2-cells $(g,\gamma) : (B,b) \Rightarrow (B',b')$ and $(f,\varphi) : (A,a) \Rightarrow (A',a')$ between 1-cells $(X,C) \to (Y,M)$ — is the morphism consisting of the map of spans

$$B \bullet A := \{(c,h) \in B \times A | l(c) = l(h) \text{ and } r(c) = r(h)\} \tag{2.2}$$



and the set

$$\{m_{l(c)} \circ (\gamma_c \otimes \varphi_h) \circ d_{r(h)} : m_{l(c)} \circ (b(c) \otimes a(h)) \circ d_{r(h)} \to m_{l(c)} \circ (b'g(c) \otimes a'f(h)) \circ d_{r(h)}\}$$

of 2-cells in $\mathcal{V}$ labelled by the elements $(c,h) \in B \bullet A$. The monoidal unit J consists of the complete span $Y \leftarrow Y \times X \rightarrow X$ (whose maps are the first and the second projection, respectively), and the map sending $(i,j) \in Y \times X$ to the 1-cell $u_i \circ e_j : C_j \to M_i$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

Now if (A,a) is an opmonoidal monad on (Y,M), then $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}((X,C),(A,a))$ is an opmonoidal monad in Cat on the above monoidal category $\mathsf{Span}|\mathcal{V}((X,C),(Y,M))$; which belongs to the realm of the theory of opmonoidal monads in [7].

3. Hopf polyads as Hopf monads

In this section we apply the general construction of the previous section to the 2-category Cat of categories, functors and natural transformations; with the monoidal structure provided by the Cartesian product.

3.1. **Monads in Span|Cat versus polyads.** Let us fix an arbitrary 0-cell $(X, X \ni x \mapsto C_x)$ in Span|Cat and describe a monad on it. The underlying 1-cell consists of a span $X \xleftarrow{t} D \xrightarrow{s} X$ and a map d associating a functor $d(h) : C_{s(h)} \to C_{t(h)}$ to each element h of D. The multiplication and unit 2-cells consist of respective maps of spans



and respective sets of natural transformations $\{\mu_{h,k} : d(h) \circ d(k) \to d(h.k) | (h,k) \in D \circ D\}$ and $\{\eta_x : 1_{C_x} \to d(e_x) | x \in X\}$. The associativity and unitality conditions say that there is a category

$$X \underset{t}{\overset{s}{\underset{\overset{e}{\leftarrow}}{\rightleftarrows}}} D \xleftarrow{\cdot} D \circ D \tag{3.1}$$

with object set X, morphism set D, source and target maps s and t, composition $\cdot$ and identity morphisms e_x; furthermore, the following diagrams commute for any composable morphisms p, q, r in this category.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d(r) \circ d(q) \circ d(p) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{r,q} \circ 1} & d(r \cdot q) \circ d(p) \\ {\scriptstyle 1 \circ \mu_{q,p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \mu_{r \cdot q, p}} \\ d(r) \circ d(q \cdot p) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{r, q \cdot p}]{} & d(r \cdot q \cdot p) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} d(q) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{t(q)} \circ 1} & d(e_{t(q)}) \circ d(q) \\ {\scriptstyle 1 \circ \eta_{s(q)}} \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \mu_{e_{t(q)}, q}} \\ d(q) \circ d(e_{s(q)}) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{q, e_{s(q)}}]{} & d(q) \end{array}$$

Comparing their descriptions above and in [6, Paragraph 2.1], respectively, we conclude that a monad in Span|Cat is the same as a *polyad* in [6].

This observation immediately provides us with a *bicategory of polyads*: the bicategory of monads in Span|Cat in the sense of [17].

Using the notation as earlier in this section, a 1-cell between polyads (D, d) on the 0-cell (X, C) and (D', d') on (X', C') is a 1-cell (H, h) in Span|Cat from (X, C) to (X', C') — meaning a span $X' \leftarrow H \rightarrow X$ and a compatible map $h : H \to \mathsf{Cat}^1$ — together with a 2-cell $(f, \varphi) : (H, h) \circ (D, d) \Rightarrow (D', d') \circ (H, h)$ in Span|Cat — meaning a map of spans $f : H \circ D \to D' \circ H$, $(p, q) \mapsto (q^f, p^f)$, and a set of natural transformations $\{\varphi_{p,q} : h(p) \circ d(q) \to d'(q^f) \circ h(p^f) | (p, q) \in H \circ D\}$ — satisfying the compatibility conditions in [17] with the multiplications and the units of both monads (D, d) and (D', d'). The 2-cells of polyads $(H, h) \Rightarrow (H', h')$ are 2-cells $(H, h) \Rightarrow (H', h')$ in Span|Cat which satisfy the compatibility condition in [17] with the 2-cells (f, φ) and (f', φ').

This gives a bigger bicategory of polyads than that in [6, Section 3]. The bicategory of polyads over a given category (3.1) in [6] is a 2-full sub-bicategory in the bicategory of monads in Span|Cat above. Its 0-cells are those monads which live on 0-cells $X \to \mathcal{V}^0$ (for the given set X), whose 1-cells are of the form $(X \xleftarrow{t} D \xrightarrow{s} X , d)$ (in terms of the given data s, t), and whose multiplication and unit 2-cells have the respective forms $(\cdot, \mu)$ and (e, η) (with the given maps $\cdot$ and e). The 1-cells are those 1-cells of

monads $((H,h),(f,\varphi))$ whose underlying span H is the trivial span $X=X=X$ and whose map f is the identity map $D=X\circ D\to D\circ X=D$. The 2-cells are all possible 2-cells of monads (g,γ) (g in them is necessarily the identity map $X\to X$).

3.2. **The induced monad in Cat.** Since a polyad is eventually a monad (D,d) in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ on some 0-cell (X,C), it induces a monad $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}((Y,H),(D,d))$ in Cat on the category $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}((Y,H),(X,C))$ for any 0-cell (Y,H) of $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$, see Section 2.5. An object of the Eilenberg-Moore category of this induced monad is a pair consisting of a 1-cell $(Q,q):(Y,H)\to(X,C)$, and a 2-cell $(r,\varrho):(D,d)\circ(Q,q)\Rightarrow(Q,q)$ in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ which satisfy the associativity and unitality conditions. The morphisms are 2-cells $(Q,q)\Rightarrow(Q',q')$ in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ which are compatible with the actions (r,ϱ) and (r',ϱ').

Let us consider the particular case when the above Y is the singleton set 1 and H takes its single element to the terminal category $\mathbf{1}$; and the corresponding Eilenberg-Moore category of the monad $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}((1,\mathbf{1}),(D,d))$. Its full subcategory of those objects $((Q,q),(r,\varrho))$ whose underlying span Q is equal to $X=X\text{ -!}\!\succ 1$, was called in [6, Section 2.2] the *category of modules* of the polyad (D,d). The non-full subcategory of those objects whose underlying span Q is equal to $X\prec\!\text{-}t\text{-}D\text{ -!}\!\succ 1$ and whose map $r:D\circ D\to D$ is the composition in the category D, and of those morphisms (f,φ) where $f:D\to D$ is the identity map, was called in [6, Section 2.3] the *category of representations* of (D,d).

3.3. **Sets of monoidal categories as monoidales in Span|Cat.** Let X be some set and $\{(C_x,\otimes_x,I_x)|x\in X\}$ a set of monoidal categories labelled by the elements of X. Then the 0-cell $(X,X\ni x\mapsto C_x)$ carries the structure of a monoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ as in Section 2.4.

3.4. **Opmonoidal 1- and 2-cells in Span|Cat.** We are not interested in general opmonoidal 1- and 2-cells only in those between monoidales (X,C) and (Y,H) of the kind discussed in Section 3.3.

Such a 1-cell consists of a span $Y\prec\!\text{-}l\text{-}A\text{-}r\!\succ X$ and a map a sending each element h of A to a functor $C_{r(h)}\to H_{l(h)}$. An opmonoidal structure on it consists of opmonoidal structures (a^2_h,a^0_h) on each functor $a(h)$ for $h\in A$.

A 2-cell (f,φ) between opmonoidal 1-cells $(\,Y\leftarrow A\rightarrow X,\,a)$ and $(\,Y\leftarrow A'\rightarrow X,\,a')$ as above is opmonoidal precisely if each component $\varphi_h:a(h)\to a'f(h)$ is opmonoidal, for $h\in A$.

3.5. **Opmonoidal monads in Span|Cat versus opmonoidal polyads.** Combining the descriptions in Sections 3.1 and 3.4, we obtain the following characterization of opmonoidal monads in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ which live on monoidales (X,C) of the form in Section 3.3.

They are polyads such that — using the notation of Section 3.1 — the functor $d(h)$ is opmonoidal for each $h\in D$ and the natural transformations $\mu_{h,k}$ and η_x are opmonoidal for each $(h,k)\in D\circ D$ and $x\in X$.

In the terminology of [6, Paragraph 2.5] this is called an *opmonoidal polyad*.

3.6. **Hopf monads in Span|Cat versus Hopf polyads.** Our next task is to compute the fusion 2-cells as in [10] for the opmonoidal monads of Section 3.5. The left fusion 2-cell consists of the map of spans

$$(X \leftarrow \{(p,q) \in D \times D | s(p) = t(q)\} \rightarrow X \times X,\ t(p) \leftarrow (p,q) \mapsto (s(q), s(p))) \rightarrow$$

$$(X \leftarrow \{(p,q) \in D \times D | t(p) = t(q)\} \rightarrow X \times X,\ t(p) \leftarrow (p,q) \mapsto (s(p), s(q)))$$

sending (p,q) to $(p.q,p)$; and the set of natural transformations

$$d(p)(d(q)(-) \otimes_{s(p)} (-)) \overset{d^2_p}{\rightarrow} (d(p) \circ d(q))(-) \otimes_{t(p)} d(p)(-) \overset{\mu_{p,q} \otimes_{t(p)} 1}{\rightarrow} d(p.q)(-) \otimes_{t(p)} d(p)(-) \tag{3.2}$$

labelled by $(p,q) \in D \circ D$. This coincides with the left fusion operator of [6, Definition 2.15].

Clearly, this left fusion 2-cell above is invertible in Span|Cat if and only if the underlying category (3.1) is a groupoid and each natural transformation in the set (3.2) is invertible; that is, we have a *left Hopf polyad* in the sense of [6, Definition 2.17].

The case of the right fusion 2-cell is symmetric.

3.7. **The induced Hopf monad in Cat.** Since the monoidal product in Cat is Cartesian, any 0-cell (that is, any category) is a comonoidale in a unique way. Hence the construction in Section 2.4 yields an induced comonoidale (Y, C) in Span|Cat for any set of categories $\{C_y | y \in Y\}$.

On the other hand, as described in Section 3.3, any set of *monoidal* categories $\{(M_x, \otimes_x, I_x) | x \in X\}$ induces a monoidale (X, M) in Span|Cat. So there is a monoidal category Span|Cat$((Y,C),(X,M))$ as in Section 2.5.

Let (D, d) be an opmonoidal polyad on (X, M); that is, an opmonoidal monad in Span|Cat. It induces an opmonoidal monad in Cat on the category Span|Cat$((Y,C),(X,M))$, see again Section 2.5. One can define its *Hopf modules* as in [8] and [7, Section 6.5]. Criteria for the equivalence between the category of these Hopf modules and Span|Cat$((Y,C),(X,M))$ were obtained in [7, Theorem 6.11]; known as the *fundamental theorem of Hopf modules*.

The category of *Hopf representations* in [6, Section 6.2] is a subcategory of the above category of Hopf modules in the sense of [7], for $(Y,C) = (1, \mathbf{1})$. Hence if the fundamental theorem of Hopf modules in [7] holds, then the equivalence therein induces an equivalence between this subcategory in [6, Section 6.2] and a suitable subcategory of Span|Cat$((1,\mathbf{1}),(X,M))$. This gives an alternative proof of [6, Theorem 6.3].

On the other hand, the category of *Hopf modules* in [6, Section 6.1] does not seem to be a subcategory of the above category of Hopf modules in the sense of [7], for $(Y,C) = (1,\mathbf{1})$; and [6, Theorem 6.1] seems to be of different nature.

4. Hopf group monoids and Hopf categories as Hopf monads on naturally Frobenius opmap monoidales

A braided monoidal small category $(V, \otimes, K, c)$ can be regarded as a monoidal bicategory with a single object, so we may take the associated monoidal bicategory Span|V.

4.1. **Sets as naturally Frobenius opmap monoidales in $\mathsf{Span}|V$.** Since there is only one 0-cell of the bicategory V, the 0-cells of $\mathsf{Span}|V$ are simply sets. Moreover, the only 0-cell of the bicategory V is the monoidal unit, hence it is a trivial monoidale, so in particular a naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale. Thus for any set X the construction in Section 2.4 yields a naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ with multiplication and unit 1-cells consisting of the respective spans

$$X \xlongequal{} X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X \quad \text{and} \quad X \xlongequal{} X \xrightarrow{!} 1$$

and in both cases the constant map sending each element of X to the monoidal unit K of V; and trivial (i.e. built up from coherence isomorphisms of V) associativity and unitality coherence 2-cells.

4.2. **The duoidal endohom categories.** A *duoidal* (or *2-monoidal* in the terminology of [1]) category is a category with two monoidal structures $(\circ, I)$ and $(\bullet, J)$ which are compatible in the sense that the functors $\circ$ and I, as well as their associativity and unitality natural isomorphisms are opmonoidal for the $\bullet$-product. Equivalently, the functors $\bullet$ and J, as well as their associativity and unitality natural isomorphisms are monoidal for the $\circ$-product. In technical terms it means the existence of four natural transformations (the binary and nullary parts of two (op)monoidal functors) subject to a number of conditions spelled out e.g. in [1].

The structure of an opmap monoidale that we constructed in the previous section on any set X, induces a duoidal structure on the endohom category $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$ which we describe next. It is obtained by a straightforward application of a general construction in [18].

The objects of $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$ are pairs consisting of an X-span A and a map a from the set A to the set of objects in V. The morphisms $(A,a) \to (A',a')$ are pairs consisting of a map of X-spans $f : A \to A'$ and a set $\{\varphi_h : a(h) \to a'f(h) | h \in A\}$ of morphisms in V.

The first monoidal product $\circ$ on $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$ comes from the horizontal composition in $\mathsf{Span}|V$; thus in fact from the monoidal product in V: the product of any two morphisms $(g,\gamma) : (B,b) \to (B',b')$ and $(f,\varphi) : (A,a) \to (A',a')$ is

$$(g \circ f : B \circ A \to B' \circ A', \{\gamma_d \otimes \varphi_h : b(d) \otimes f(h) \to b'g(d) \otimes a'f(h) | (d,h) \in B \circ A\}).$$

The monoidal unit I is the identity 1-cell of X: it consists of the trivial X-span and the map sending each element of X to the monoidal unit K of V.

For any (possibly different) opmap monoidales X and Y of the kind discussed in Section 4.1, the hom category $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,Y)$ admits a monoidal product $\bullet$ which is of the convolution type, see Section 2.5. Now the product of 2-cells $(g,\gamma) : (B,b) \Rightarrow (B',b')$ and $(f,\varphi) : (A,a) \Rightarrow (A',a')$ between 1-cells $X \to Y$ is the pair consisting of the map of spans in (2.2) and the set $\{\gamma_d \otimes \varphi_h : b(d) \otimes a(h) \to b'g(d) \otimes a'f(h) | (d,h) \in B \bullet A\}$ of morphisms in V. The monoidal unit J consists of the complete span $Y \leftarrow Y \times X \rightarrow X$ and the map sending each element of $Y \times X$ to the monoidal unit K of V.

The above monoidal structures combine into a duoidal structure on $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$. The four structure morphisms take the following forms. The first one is a morphism $((A,a) \bullet (B,b)) \circ ((H,h) \bullet (D,d)) \to ((A,a) \circ (H,h)) \bullet ((B,b) \circ (D,d))$ which is natural

in each object $(A,a),(B,b),(H,h),(D,d)$. It consists of the map of spans

$$\{(p,q,v,w)\in A\times B\times H\times D|l(p)=l(q),\ r(p)=r(q)=l(v)=l(w),\ r(v)=r(w)\}$$



$$\{(p,v,q,w)\in A\times H\times B\times D|l(p)=l(q),\ r(p)=l(v),\ r(q)=l(w),\ r(v)=r(w)\}$$

and the set

$$\{1\otimes c\otimes 1 : a(p)\otimes b(q)\otimes h(v)\otimes d(w)\to a(p)\otimes h(v)\otimes b(q)\otimes d(w)\}$$

of morphisms in V, labelled by the elements $(p,q,v,w)\in(A\bullet B)\circ(H\bullet D)$.

Next we need a morphism $J\circ J\to J$; it consists of the map of spans



and the map sending each element of $X\times X\times X$ to the identity morphism of the monoidal unit K of V.

Then we need a morphism $I\to I\bullet I=I$; it is the identity morphism.

Finally we need a morphism $I\to J$. It is given by the diagonal map $\Delta : X\to X\times X$ from the trivial to the complete span and the map sending each element of X to the identity morphism of the monoidal unit K of V.

4.3. **Hopf group monoids.** There is a particular duoidal category $\mathsf{Span}|V(1,1)$ of the above form in Section 4.2 for the singleton set 1. Here both monoidal products $\circ$ and $\bullet$ turn out to be equal, and sending any pair of 2-cells $(g,\gamma):(B,b)\Rightarrow(B',b')$ and $(f,\varphi):(A,a)\Rightarrow(A',a')$ between 1-cells $1\to 1$ to

$$(g\times f : B\times A\to B'\times A', \{\gamma_d\otimes\varphi_h : b(d)\otimes f(h)\to b'g(d)\otimes a'f(h)|(d,h)\in B\times A\}).$$

This amounts to saying that the duoidal category $\mathsf{Span}|V(1,1)$ coincides with the braided monoidal *Zunino category*; for its explicit description (in the case when V is the symmetric monoidal category of modules over a commutative ring) see [9, Section 2.2]. Then we can use [9, Propositions 2.7-10] to characterize monoids, comonoids, bimonoids and Hopf monoids therein. As explained in Sections 3.2-3 and Theorem 7.2 of [4], this provides a characterization of monads, opmonoidal 1-cells, opmonoidal monads and Hopf monads, respectively, in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ on the trivial monoidale 1. As a result, a monad in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ on 1 consists of an ordinary monoid G (that is, a monoid in the Cartesian monoidal category of sets) and a *G-monoid* in V in the sense of an evident generalization of [9, Definition 1.6] to an arbitrary braided monoidal category V. An opmonoidal 1-cell $1\to 1$ in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ is a set of comonoids in V while an opmonoidal 2-cell between them is a set of comonoid morphisms in V. An opmonoidal

monad in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ on the monoidale 1 consists of an ordinary monoid G and a *semi-Hopf G-monoid* in V in the sense of a straightforward generalization of [9, Definition 1.7] to a braided monoidal category V. Finally, a Hopf monad in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ on the monoidale 1 consists of a group G and a *Hopf G-monoid* in V in the sense of a generalization of [9, Definition 1.8] to V; see also the first occurrence of *Hopf group algebra* in [20] and in a dual form in [19].

4.4. **Monads in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ versus categories enriched in V.** As explained in [4, Section 3.3], the monads on an arbitrary object of $\mathsf{Span}|V$ — that is, on a set X — are precisely the monoids in the monoidal category $(\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X), \circ, I)$ of Section 4.2.

Such a monoid is given by the following data. An object of $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$; that is, a pair consisting of a span

$$X \xleftarrow{t} A \xrightarrow{s} X \tag{4.1}$$

and an arbitrary map a from A to the set of objects in V. This has to be equipped with multiplication and unit 2-cells which consist of respective maps of spans $\cdot : A \circ A \to A$ and $e : X \to A$ and respective sets of morphisms in V, $\{\mu_{h,d} : a(h) \otimes a(d) \to a(h \cdot d) | (h,d) \in A \circ A\}$ and $\{\eta_x : K \to a(e_x) | x \in X\}$. The associativity and unitality conditions on them say that there is a category with underlying span (4.1), composition $\cdot$ and identity morphisms e_x; furthermore, the following diagrams commute for any composable morphisms p, q, w in this category.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a(p)\otimes a(q)\otimes a(w) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{p,q}\otimes 1} & a(p\cdot q)\otimes a(w) \\ {\scriptstyle 1\otimes\mu_{q,w}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{p\cdot q,w}} \\ a(p)\otimes a(q\cdot w) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{p,q\cdot w}]{} & a(p\cdot q\cdot w) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} a(p) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{t(p)}\otimes 1} & a(e_{t(p)})\otimes a(p) \\ {\scriptstyle 1\otimes\eta_{s(p)}}\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{e_{t(p)},p}} \\ a(p)\otimes a(e_{s(p)}) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{p,e_{s(p)}}]{} & a(p) \end{array}$$

Choosing the underlying X-span (4.1) to be the complete span $X \times X$ — which is the terminal object in the category of X-spans and their morphisms, and which is the span underlying the unique *indiscrete category* on the object set X — this reduces to a category enriched in V.

A morphism in $(\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X), \circ, I)$ between monoids (A,a) and (A',a') consists of an identity-on-objects functor $f : A \to A'$ and a set of morphisms in V, $\{\varphi_p : a(p) \to a'f(p) | p \in A\}$ such that the following diagrams commute for any composable morphisms p, q, and object x in the category A.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a(p)\otimes a(q) & \xrightarrow{\quad\mu_{p,q}\quad} & a(p.q) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_p\otimes\varphi_q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{p.q}} \\ a'f(p)\otimes a'f(q) & \xrightarrow[\mu'_{f(p),f(q)}]{} & a'(f(p).f(q)) = a'f(p.q) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\eta_x} & a(e_x) \\ {\scriptstyle \eta'_x}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{ex}} \\ a'(e'_x) & = & a'f(e_x) \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

Choosing both categories A and A' to be the indiscrete category on the object set X this reduces to an identity-on-object V-enriched functor.

4.5. **Opmonoidal 1- and 2-cells in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ versus matrices of comonoids, and of comonoid morphisms in V.** Again, we are not interested in arbitrary opmonoidal 1- and 2-cells only in those between opmap monoidales X and Y of the

kind discussed in Section 4.1. As explained in [4, Section 3.2], these are precisely the comonoids and their morphisms in the monoidal category $(\mathsf{Span}|V(X,Y),\bullet,J)$ of Section 4.2.

A comonoid $(\ Y \xleftarrow{t} A \xrightarrow{s} X\ , a)$ in $(\mathsf{Span}|V(X,Y),\bullet,J)$ can be characterized as follows. Since on spans $\bullet$ is the categorical product, the maps of spans $A \to A \bullet A$, $h \mapsto (h,h)$ in the comultiplication and $A \to X \times X$, $h \mapsto (t(h), s(h))$ in the counit are uniquely fixed. Thus a comonoid structure is given by a factorization of a through the set of comonoids in V.

If the underlying span $Y \xleftarrow{t} A \xrightarrow{s} X$ is the complete span $Y \leftarrow Y \times X \rightarrow X$ then this reduces to a matrix of comonoids in V.

A morphism of comonoids in $(\mathsf{Span}|V(X,Y),\bullet,J)$ from (A,a) to (A',a') consists of a map of spans $f : A \to A'$ and a set of comonoid morphisms in V, $\{\varphi_p : a(p) \to a'f(p) | p \in A\}$.

Choosing both A and A' to be the complete span $Y \times X$ this reduces to a matrix of comonoid morphisms in V.

4.6. **Opmonoidal monads in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ versus categories enriched in the category of comonoids in V.** From the discussion in [4, Section 3.3] we deduce that the opmonoidal monads on an opmap monoidale X of the kind discussed in Section 4.1 are precisely the bimonoids in the duoidal category $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$ of Section 4.2. Combining the descriptions in Sections 4.4 and 4.5, we obtain their characterization as follows.

A bimonoid in $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$ is given by a category with the object set X and some morphism set A, and a map a from A to the set of comonoids in V, together with sets of comonoid morphisms in V, $\{\mu_{h,d} : a(h) \otimes a(d) \to a(h \cdot d) | (h,d) \in A \circ A\}$ and $\{\eta_x : K \to a(e_x) | x \in X\}$ rendering commutative the same diagrams as in Section 4.4. (If V is a *symmetric* monoidal category, this simply means a monoid in $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cmd}(V)(X,X)$ for the symmetric monoidal category $\mathsf{Cmd}(V)$ of comonoids in V.)

Choosing the category A to be the indiscrete category on the object set X, this reduces to a category enriched in the monoidal category of comonoids in V.

A morphism of bimonoids in $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$ from (A,a) to (A',a') consists of an identity-on-objects functor $f : A \to A'$ and a set of comonoid morphisms in V, $\{\varphi_h : a(h) \to a'f(h) | h \in A\}$ such that the same diagrams as in (4.2) commute.

Choosing both categories A and A' to be the indiscrete category on the object set X, this reduces to an identity-on-object functor enriched in the monoidal category of comonoids in V.

4.7. **The induced opmonoidal monad in Cat.** Regard a V-enriched category with object set X as a monad in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ on the 0-cell X. Via horizontal composition it induces a monad in Cat on the category $\mathsf{Span}|V(Y,X)$ for any set Y, see Section 2.5.

If we start with a category enriched in the category of comonoids in V — that is, as a monad in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ it admits an opmonoidal structure — then so does the induced monad in Cat, see again Section 2.5. This implies the monoidality (via the product $\bullet$) of the Eilenberg-Moore category of the induced monad. The *category of modules* in [2, Definition 4.1] is a monoidal full subcategory therein (whose objects live on the complete X-span).

4.8. **Hopf monads in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ versus Hopf categories.** A category enriched in the category of comonoids in V, with object set X, can be regarded equivalently as a bimonoid in the duoidal category $\mathsf{Span}|V(X,X)$. Using the notation from Section 4.6, in the current situation the *antipode* in the sense of [4, Theorem 7.2] turns out to be a set of morphisms in V, $\{s_{v,w} : a(v,w) \to a(w,v) | (v,w) \in X \times X\}$, subject to the axioms in [4, Theorem 7.2]. The first antipode axiom in [4, Theorem 7.2] takes now the form in Figure 1. In that figure we introduced the notation $\delta_{v,w}$ and $\varepsilon_{v,w}$ for the comultiplication and the counit of the comonoid $a(v,w)$ for $(v,w) \in X \times X$. For natural numbers $n \geq m$, we denote by p_m the m^{th} projection from the n-fold Cartesian product of X to X, sending $(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ to q_m.

The diagram of Figure 1 encodes precisely the same condition as the first antipode axiom in [2, Definition 3.3]. The second antipode axioms are compared symmetrically. So we conclude that a *Hopf V-category* in [2, Definition 3.3] is precisely the same as a Hopf monad in $\mathsf{Span}|V$ on a naturally Frobenius opmap monoidale of the kind discussed in Section 4.1, with the indiscrete underlying category.

4.9. **The functorial relation of Hopf group monoids and Hopf categories to Hopf polyads.** Regarding a braided monoidal category as a monoidal bicategory of a single 0-cell, there is a monoidal pseudofunctor $V \to \mathsf{Cat}$ as follows.

The single 0-cell of the bicategory V is sent to the category V. A 2-cell in the bicategory V — that is, a morphism $f : p \to q$ in the category V — is sent to the natural transformation $f \otimes (-) : p \otimes (-) \to q \otimes (-)$ between endofunctors on V. This is clearly a pseudofunctor. It is monoidal as well via the following ingredients. The unit-compatibility pseudo natural transformation is provided by the 1-cell of Cat (i.e. functor) from the terminal category to V sending the only object to the monoidal unit K; and the isomorphism $K \otimes K \cong K$ in V. The product-compatibility pseudonatural transformation has the object part provided by the monoidal product $\otimes : V \times V \to V$ and the morphism part given by the braiding c of V as $1 \otimes c \otimes 1 : p \otimes (-) \otimes q \otimes (-) \to p \otimes q \otimes (-) \otimes (-)$ for any object (p,q) of $V \times V$. The associativity and unitality modifications are induced by the associativity and unitality natural isomorphisms of V.

This monoidal pseudofunctor $V \to \mathsf{Cat}$ induces a monoidal pseudofunctor from $\mathsf{Span}|V$ to $\mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ whose unit- and product-compatibilities are pseudonatural transformations as well. Since such monoidal pseudofunctors preserve monoidales (but not necessarily opmap monoidales!), monads and opmonoidal morphisms, as well as the invertibility of 2-cells, we conclude that they preserve Hopf monads. In particular, the above monoidal pseudofunctor $\mathsf{Span}|V \to \mathsf{Span}|\mathsf{Cat}$ takes both Hopf group monoids and Hopf categories to Hopf polyads. This explains some observations in [6, Section 7].

References

[1] Marcelo Aguiar and Swapneel Mahajan, *Monoidal Functors, Species and Hopf Algebras.* CRM Monograph Series 29, American Math. Soc. Providence, 2010. Electronically available at: http://www.math.tamu.edu/ maguiar/a.pdf. 1, 10

[2] Eliezer Batista, Stefaan Caenepeel and Joost Vercruysse, *Hopf Categories,* Algebr. Represent. Theory 19 no. 5 (2016), 1173-1216. 1, 2, 13, 14

[3] Francis Borceux, *Handbook of Categorical Algebra: Volume 1, Basic Category Theory.* Cambridge University Press 1994. 2


$(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times X \xrightarrow{p_1} X$
$(v,w) \mapsto a(v,w))$
$(1,(v,w)\mapsto \delta_{v,w})$
$(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times X \xrightarrow{p_1} X$
$(v,w) \mapsto a(v,w) \otimes a(v,w))$
$(1,(v,w)\mapsto \varepsilon_{v,w})$
$(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times X \times X \xrightarrow{p_2} X$
$(v,z,w) \mapsto a(v,w) \otimes a(z,w))$
$((v,z,w)\mapsto(v,w,z),(v,z,w)\mapsto 1\otimes s_{z,w})$
$(\Delta\times 1,1)$
$(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times X \times X \xrightarrow{p_3} X$
$(v,w,z) \mapsto a(v,w) \otimes a(w,z))$
$((v,w,z)\mapsto(v,z),(v,w,z)\mapsto\mu_{v,w,z})$
$(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times X \xrightarrow{p_2} X$
$(v,w) \mapsto a(v,w))$
$(p_1,1)$
$(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times X \xrightarrow{p_1} X$
$(v,w) \mapsto K)$
$(X = X = X$
$(v,w) \mapsto K)$
$(\Delta,v\mapsto\eta_v)$

FIGURE 1. The first antipode axiom

[4] Gabriella Böhm and Stephen Lack, *Hopf comonads on naturally Frobenius map-monoidales,* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 no. 6 (2016), 2177-2213. 1, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14
[5] Gabriella Böhm, Florian Nill and Kornél Szlachányi, *Weak Hopf algebras. I. Integral theory and C^*-structure,* J. Algebra, 221 no. 2 (1999) 385-438. 1
[6] Alain Bruguières, *Hopf polyads,* preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.04639. 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 14
[7] Alain Bruguières, Steve Lack and Alexis Virelizier, *Hopf monads on monoidal categories,* Adv. Math. 227 no. 2 (2011) 745-800. 1, 6, 9
[8] Alain Bruguières and Alexis Virelizier, *Hopf monads,* Adv. Math. 215 no. 2 (2007) 679-733. 1, 9
[9] Stefaan Caenepeel and Michel De Lombaerde, *A categorical approach to Turaev's Hopf group-coalgebras,* Comm. Algebra 34 (2006), 2631-2657. 2, 11, 12
[10] Dimitri Chikhladze, Stephen Lack and Ross Street, *Hopf monoidal comonads,* Theory Appl. Categ. 24 no. 19 (2010) 554-563. 1, 6, 9
[11] Robert Gordon, Anthony John Power and Ross Street, *Coherence for tricategories.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 no. 558, 1995. 4, 5
[12] John W. Gray, *Formal Category Theory : Adjointness for 2-Categories.* Springer LNM 391, 1974. 4
[13] Ignacio López Franco, Ross Street and Richard J. Wood, *Duals invert,* Appl. Categ. Structures 19 no. 1 (2011) 321-361. 1
[14] Ignacio López Franco, *Formal Hopf algebra theory. I. Hopf modules for pseudomonoids,* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 no. 6 (2009) 1046-1063. 1
[15] Douglas C. Ravenel, *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres,* Pure Appl. Math., vol. 121, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986. 1
[16] Peter Schauenburg, *Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids ($\times_R$-Hopf algebras),* AMS Contemp. Math. 267 pp 273-299, AMS, Providence, RI, 2000. 1
[17] Ross Street, *The formal theory of monads,* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 2 no. 2 (1972) 149-168. 7
[18] Ross Street, *Monoidal categories in, and linking, geometry and algebra,* Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 19 no. 5 (2012) 769-821. 1, 10
[19] Vladimir G. Turaev, *Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories,* preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0005291. 1, 2, 12
[20] Marco Zunino, *Double construction for crossed Hopf coalgebras,* J. Algebra 278 (2004), 43-75. 1, 2, 12

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, H-1525 Budapest 114, P.O.B. 49, Hungary
E-mail address: bohm.gabriella@wigner.mta.hu